

ROLE OF GAMMA KNIFE IN BRAIN TUMORS

- ▣ History
- ▣ Equipment and its working
- ▣ Indications
- ▣ Results
- ▣ Complications

Historical background

▣ The **Gamma Knife** (stereotactic radiosurgery)

in which narrow beams of highly focused and destructive dose of radiation is given in a single session using an external reference frame fixed to the head.

- Term introduced by Lars Leksell in 1951
(Professor of Neurosurgery, Karolinska University)
- 1967 , 1st GKRS installed by Leksell & Larsson at Stockholm
(For treatment of intractable pain managements & functional procedure using 179 CO -60 source)
- 1975 , 2nd generation GKRS used at Karolinska institute using round collimeters (4,8,14,18mm) for treatment of vascular malformation & tumors
- Focal distance from radiation source to target is 40.3 cms

- ▣ Four models are used –
- ▣ Model U : uses a hemispherical array – dose profile greater in superior / inferior extent
- ▣ model B/ C: uses circular array of radiation sources –dose profile greater in right/left
- ▣ New model C: contain an automatic positioning system
- ▣ latest version (perfexion):was first installed at Mayo Clinic in September 2007.

How does it work?

- Radiation does not remove the tumor or tissue abnormality.
- Radiation distorts DNA (ionizing induces mutations and other forms of DNA damage & cell cycle arrest).
- Radiation induce apoptosis to proliferating cells.
- The cell lose its ability to retain fluids.
 - ▣ For arteriovenous malformations, radiation induces the thickening and closing off the blood vessels.

Gamma Knife "Cure"

- ▣ Tumor loses its ability to grow
- ▣ Remains the same size
- ◎ Never grow again

Benign tumors take up to 2 years to disappear

Metastatic (Cancerous) tumors take only months to disappear

Radiosurgery Technique

- ▣ Three part of the procedure
 - 3 D Stereotactic localization
 - Dose planning- Most crucial
 - Radiation exposure

3-D Stereotactic Localization

- ▣ Aim – to place stereotactic frame such a way that lesion to be treated is located as close to 3-D frame centre as possible .
- ▣ Use imaging and 3-D mapping techniques to target tissue of interest
- ▣ Tomography Techniques:
 - PET (CT) and MRI
 - Good for tumor pathologies
- ▣ X-ray-based Techniques:
 - X-ray and Digital Subtracted Angiography
 - Good for vascular imaging

Treatment Planning

Gamma Plan 4.12 software used to plan GK treatment of a frontal lobe meningioma. Note abrupt fall off of radiation at tumor edge. The tumor volume is red and the yellow lines represent the 50% isodose curves.

Treatment Planning

- ▣ Image transferred & using Leksell Gamma Knife 3-D planning software, a treatment protocol is planned
- ▣ Surgeon, radiation oncologist and radiation physicist decide dose plans
 - different collimator sizes and added "shots" required
- ▣ Tumors may not be exactly spherical
 - Require additional "shots"

- ▣ High dose delivered to tumor core
Tumor tissue less oxygenated at center
- ▣ Normal surrounding parenchyma spared
- ▣ Dose most lethal when surrounding tissue receives a higher dose of radiation

Dose limiting factors

- ▣ Dose rate
- ▣ Volume of tumor-
 - maximal tumor volume that could be radiated is around 25 cc
- ▣ Cranial nerves sensitivity
- ▣ Effect on surrounding vessels
 - Usually not a limiting factor
 - Endothelial damage in large vessels does not leads to thrombosis in most of the cases

Cranial nerve sensitivity

- ▣ Factors determining cranial nerve dysfunction
 - Nature of the nerve
 - Optic and Acoustic*
 - Length of nerve irradiated
 - Volume of nerve roots exposed
 - Mechanical stretching of the nerve by tumor

Dose limits of cranial nerves

- ▣ Optic nerve- 8 Gy
- ▣ Cavernous sinus nerves- 30-40 Gy
- ▣ Trigeminal nerve- 10-25 Gy
- ▣ Facial nerve- 10-25 Gy
- ▣ Lower cranial nerves- 10-25 Gy

Tishler CA, loeffler JS et al :Tolerance of cranial nerve to radiosurgery :Int J Radiate Oncol Biol Phys, 1193

Advantage of GKRS

- ▣ Noninvasive method of treating inoperable lesions
- ▣ Eliminates the risk of open surgery
- ▣ patients experience little discomfort.
- ▣ Low immediate procedural morbidity
- ▣ Patients can immediately resume their previous activities
- ▣ Short hospitalization
- ▣ The lesion being treated receives a high dose of radiation with minimum risk to nearby tissue and structures

Disadvantage

- ▣ Delayed complication of radiation
- ▣ Use is limited to tumor located adjacent to critical neurovascular structure

Patient Selection

- ▣ Lesions characteristic
 - small -less than 3 cms in diameter
 - ▣ Larger not appropriate
 - require two or more "shots"
 - radiation dose to surrounding brain is more - damage tissue
 - Radiation Necrosis
 - Geometrically
 - ▣ Regular- spherical, ovoid or cylindrical is good
 - ▣ irregular shaped i.e. star or crescent shaped- not good candidates
 - Difficult to plan radiation dose volume
 - or
 - it deliver lethal dose of radiation to the surrounding brain

Indications

▣ Tumors

- Acoustic neuromas
- Pituitary adenomas
- Meningiomas
- Skull-based tumors
 - ▣ Meningiomas of cavernous sinus
 - ▣ Chordomas and chondrosarcomas
- Craniopharyngiomas
- Metastases
- Gliomas and other primary intra-axial tumors
- Pineal tumors
- Haemangioblastoma
- Glomus tumors

Indications

- ▣ **Vascular abnormalities:**
 - Arteriovenous malformations
 - Cavernous malformations

- ▣ **Functional problems:**
 - Trigeminal neuralgia
 - Parkinson's disease (pallidotomy)
 - OCD
 - Radiosurgical thalamotomy

- ▣ **For epilepsy**
 - Cavernous malformation
 - Arteriovenous malformation
 - Hypothelamic hemartoma
 - -Mesial temporal epilepsy

- ▣ **Ocular tumors**
 - Uveal melamona
 - Orbital metastases
 - Optic nerve sheath meningioma

Vestibular schwannomas

- First GKRS by Leksell and steiner, in 1969
- Effect is either no further growth or slight diminution of tumor volume
- In past 25 years, results favoring GK as compared to microsurgery
- No reports of cancer being caused by radio surgery
- Tumor control rate : 93 - 98%
- Hearing preservation superior with Gamma knife as compared to micro neurosurgery.
- Rare loss of facial movement or sensation
- Most patients retain some hearing

Indications of radio surgery

- ▣ Small tumors with maximum intracisternal diameter : < 3 cm
- ▣ Residual / Recurrent tumor after microsurgery
- ▣ In elderly population
- ▣ High risk patients refuse microsurgery.
- ▣ In younger subset, hearing preservation is an important issue

Contraindication :

Tumor causing mass effect on brain stem with clinical deficit

Dose recommendations

▣ Marginal dose for small tumors -14 Gy

medium tumors - 12 Gy

large tumors -10 Gy

▣ Margin dose 11 to 15Gy at 50% isodose curve.

Comparison of Radiosurgical & Microsurgical Treatment for Acoustic Neuromas

Procedure	Cure/Control	Death	Hearing Preservation	Facial Nerve Preservation
GK Radiosurgery	90-96%	0%	70%	100%
Microsurgery	98%	1.5%	7%	63%

Regis J, Delsanti C, Roche P-H, Thomassin J-M, Pellete W. Functional outcomes of radiosurgical treatment of vestibular schwannomas:

1000 successive cases and review of the literature. *Neurochirurgie* 2004;50(2-3):301-311

J. Neurosurg 94(5):1091-1100, 2002, Modern management of vestibular schwannomas

Results

- Earliest change includes loss of contrast or gadolinium enhancement, most marked in center of tumor
- Poorer response in NF-2-life long follow up required
- SRS – high rate of tumor control, higher hearing preservation , low morbidity
- Initial loss of contrast enhancement may be followed by period of increased volume and dense enhancement
- Decrease in tumor volume with increased length of follow up- up to 90 % at 10 years

Chopra R, Kondzoilka D, Niranjan A, Lunsford LD, Flickinger JC. Long term follow-up of acoustic schwannoma radiosurgery with marginal tumor doses of 12 to 14 Gy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol phys 2007;68:845-851

Meningiomas

- ▣ Microsurgery is the initial treatment of choice
- ▣ First Meningioma radiated in 1975

- ▣ GKRS used as an alternative to post operative radiotherapy for residual meningiomas
- ▣ Advantages include unique accuracy and precision ; minimizing risk

Meningiomas

▣ Indications

- primary treatment (for difficult to operate)
 - ▣ cavernous sinus / basal meningioma
 - ▣ petroclival tumors of the posterior fosse
 - ▣ Medical illness / advanced age
- For residual meningiomas
- Recurrent tumor after open surgery

■ Contraindicated

- ▣ Tumor with symptomatic optic nerve or chiasmal compression
- ▣ optic nerve sheath tumor with preserved vision
- ▣ > 3 cms in diameter with mass effect

Meningiomas

- ▣ Mean dose to tumor margin :14 Gy
- ▣ Mean tumor volume: 7.4 ml
- ▣ mean 7.5 isocenter used
- ▣ Results
 - 94% tumor control rate
 - 10% edema risk
 - Subsequent surgery - 5.2 %,
 - Additional RT :2.9%
 - Morbidity -7.7%

Kondziolka, Niranjan A, long term result after radiosurgery for benign intracranial tumors, Neurosurgery 2003, 53

Gliomas

- ❑ SRS recommended mainly for Pilocytic astrocytomas located in **thalamus, hypothalamus, brain stem, optic tract** etc.
- ❑ Low grade gliomas (grade 2) are usually diffusely infiltrating hence role of radiosurgery limited for residual lesion
- ❑ Low grade glioma in medial temporal lobe is usually well circumscribed and amendable to SRS
- ❑ Two groups where it can be used
 - patients with low Karnofsky's score
 - well localized small lesions

▣ Primary Low Grade Gliomas

- 95% response rate.
- 10-year follow-ups in most patients treated show no evidence of residual tumor

Kida Y, Kobayashi T, Mori Y, Gamma knife radiosurgery for low-grade astrocytomas: results of long term follow-up. J Neurosurg 2000:93

Glioblastomas and anaplastic astrocytoma (High grade)

- ▣ Cytoreduction followed by GK
- ▣ MR scan within 48 hours of surgery
- ▣ residual, enhancing tissue is boosted with GK followed by conventional radiation therapy
- ▣ recurrence treated with GK, if tumor nidus is small
- ▣ High grade gliomas are usually bulky tumors; SRS again has a limited role

- ▣ Controlled studies need to be completed to conclusively demonstrate the role of GKRS
- ▣ Recent studies indicate radiosurgery is useful in extending survival in patients with recurrent glioblastoma
- ▣ Median tumor progression free interval of 12 months

Hsieh PC et al, Adjuvant Gamma Knife stereotactic radiosurgery , treatment option for recurrent GBM, Neurosurgery 2005,57

Metastatic Brain Tumors

- ▣ Metastatic tumors are usually well circumscribed; hence amendable to GKRS
- ▣ GKRS used in two forms
 - ▣ As local boost in combination with WBRT
 - ▣ As exclusive primary therapy
- ▣ As a primary therapy can be used in patients with low Karnofsky's score where WBRT is not desirable
- ▣ Dose recommendations
 - adjunctive therapy 15-20 Gy
 - primary therapy 25-30 Gy

Metastatic Brain Tumors

- ▣ Surgical removal + radiation
 - benefits patients' quality of life and survival
 - must control primary tumor first
- ▣ Gamma Knife radiosurgery
 - As effective as open surgery combined with brain radiotherapy

J Neurosurgery / Volume 93 / Dec 2000

- ▣ Effective even for tumors relatively resistant to external beam radiation therapy
 - ▣ In selected individuals, whole brain radiotherapy not necessary
 - ▣ Some multiple brain metastases are also candidates for GK
- J Neurosurgery / Volume 93 / Dec 2000*
- ▣ Recurrent or new tumor deposits can be retreated by GK

Results

- ▣ Success rate up to 90% reported
- ▣ Increase in tumor volume in some cases can be due to radionecrosis
- ▣ Recurrence rate is 7-15% Vs 20% for craniotomy + WBRT; hence Gamma knife superior
- ▣ Best results are obtained in patients with Melanoma and Renal cell carcinoma
- ▣ Results are poor with Bronchogenic carcinoma
- ▣ Hence, SRS recommended as primary modality for metastasis except in very large tumors

Hasegawa ,et al,Brain metastasis treated with radiosurgery alone, an alternative to WBRT,Neurosurgery 2003,52

Pituitary Tumors

- ▣ Best managed by microsurgery
- ▣ Gamma Knife radiosurgery useful in
 - recurrent
 - residual tumors, especially in the cavernous sinus.
- ▣ Excellent preservation of cranial nerve and pituitary function.

Pituitary adenomas- Nonsecretory

- ▣ Goal :to stabilize or reduce adenoma volume
- ▣ SRS indicated:
 - failure of total resection / parasellar region
 - recurrence after surgery and radiotherapy
- ▣ Dose required is less as compared to functional tumors
- ▣ Edge dose to tumor ranges from 10-15 Gy
- ▣ Dose to optic apparatus should be kept below 8 Gy
- ▣ Excellent tumor control rate 93% (68 - 100 %)
- ▣ Relatively safe
 - no neuro-ophthalmological complications reported
 - 1 case of pan- hypopituitarism reported

GH producing tumors

- ❑ Complete surgical resection not possible in many cases because of frequent parasellar growth
- ❑ SRS indicated in these cases
- ❑ Also used as an initial modality by some and in recurrence after surgery
- ❑ Edge dose to tumor ranges from 10-25 Gy
- ❑ In 70% cases GH levels fall below 5 ng / ml

ACTH Producing tumors

- ▣ Microsurgery considered gold standard for microadenomas - excellent results
- ▣ SRS indicated - Recurrent cases
 - Inaccessible tumor
- ▣ dose to tumor margin 20 Gy.
- ▣ Normal 24 hour UFC:63% at 1 year
- ▣ Hypocortisolism/recurrent disease not correlated with radiation doses.
- ▣ Tumor volume decreased in 73% cases not significantly correlated with endocrine outcome

Sheehan JM., Vance ML, Sheehan JP, et al Radiosurgery for cushing`s disease after failed transphenoidal surgery. J.Neurosurg 93(5):738-42 2000

Prolactinoma

- ▣ GK - primary
 - unsuccessful surgery (residual)
 - failed medical treatments
- ▣ Mean dose to tumor margin 13-30 GY
- ▣ Endocrine cure rate 52 %
- ▣ Remission rate poor in pt receiving antisecretory medication at time of GK

Pan L,zhang N,Wang EM,Wang BJ,Gamma knife radiosurgery as a priary treatment for prolactinomas.J Neurosurgery 2000:93

Craniopharyngiomas –

- ▣ Complete surgical resection not possible
- ▣ Multimodality therapeutic approach
 - Surgery + post op radiotherapy
 - Stereotactic cyst aspiration& injection of radioactive Yttrium-90
 - GKRS + Stereotactic single dose radiation

Chiou SM,Lunsford LD,Niranjan A,et al:Steriotactic radiosurgery of residual or recurrent craniopharyngioma, after surgery,with or without radiation therapy.Neurooncol 3:159-166,2001.

Role of GKRS craniopharyngioma

- ▣ GKRS used
 - Primary treatment
 - adjunctive treatment alone
 - combining with intralesional brachytherapy
 - Salvage treatment for recurrence.
- ▣ Optimum dose to achieve local control was 12 Gy.(10 – 20)
- ▣ Tumor control: 79%, complete response:19%, at 65 months mean follow-up.
- ▣ Results are better with squamous histology as compared to adamantinomatous type.

Kobayashi et al. Japan Long-term results of Gamma Knife surgery for the treatment of craniopharyngioma in 98 consecutive cases. J Neurosurg 2005;103(6 suppl)

Glomas Jugulare

- ▣ Role of GKRS remains controversial
- ▣ Indication
 - Elderly patients with symptomatic tumor
 - Residual / recurrent tumor
 - Smaller tumors
- ◎ Contraindication
 - Young patient with large tumors causing significant mass effect
 - Patient with catecholamine secreting tumor
- ◎ Tumor growth control rate of 94%
 - ▣ Mean dose of 16.4 Gy.
 - ▣ Margin dose 16.4 – Maximum dose 30
 - ▣ Mean follow-up 46 months.
 - ▣ Tumor control rate : 94%

Complications

- ▣ Low morbidity-zero mortality.
- ▣ Hearing lose: 54%
- ▣ Lower cranial dysfunction is extremely rare
- ▣ Nausea,vomitting and dizziness/ vestibular dysfunction
- ▣ Transient facial or glossopharyngeal neuropathies
- ▣ Neither target volume nor the radiation dose associated with the incidence of cranial neuropathies.
- ▣ Severe vertigo after radiosurgery

Hemangioblastomas

- ▣ Gold standard treatment – surgical resection of the solid components
- ▣ GKRS can be given to solid portion ,with max dose 28 - 60 Gy and peripheral dose 11 - 20 Gy
- ▣ Tumor size – Decrease in size - 70 %
No change in size – 30 %

Niemela M young J et al ,Gamma knife radiosurgery in 11 Hemangioblastoma,in 7th international meeting fLeksell Gamma Knife Society,1995

Chordomas & chondrosarcomas

- ▣ Rare skull base tumors
- ▣ Both highly invasive locally
- ▣ Challenges to treat due to their invasiveness, proximity to vital structure
- ▣ Post op GKRS – modest reduction in tumor size and long term survival

Muthukumar N, Kondzoilka D, Lundsford LD, et al: Stereotactic radiosurgery for chordoma and chondrosarcoma: Further experiences. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 41:387-392, 1998.

Pediatric Tumors

- ▣ Technical issue
- ▣ Indication:
 - Pilocytic astrocytomas / low grade glioma
 - High grade glioma
 - Medulloblastomas
 - Ependymomas
 - Craniopharyngioma
 - Pituitary Adenomas
 - Pineal region tumors
 - Gangliogliomas
 - Choroid plexus papillomas
 - Neurocytomas

GKRS After Care

- ▣ No initial effects of radiosurgery
- ▣ Few patients have experienced seizures
 - almost always with established seizure disorder
 - adjust anticonvulsant prior to treatment
- ▣ Local pain in the scalp
 - simple, oral pain medication

Side Effects of GKRS

- -Brain edema
 - cells lose ability to retain fluid,
swelling within the adjacent brain
 - Rx -oral steroids/ self-limiting
- ▣ Psychological side effects:
loss of memory, decreased cognitive abilities
- ▣ Cranial nerve dysfunction
 - double vision /visual loss
 - facial numbness, weakness
 - hearing loss
 - Rare with modern gamma ray doses

Side Effects

▣ Radiation Necrosis

- radiosurgery turns a live tumor into a dead tumor
- large tumors are not good candidates ??
 - ▣ risk of radiation necrosis increases with size
 - ▣ dead tissue cleared from brain by an inflammatory reaction
 - ▣ bigger the mass of dead tissue = greater the inflammation
 - high doses of steroids
 - 6 and 18 months after procedure
 - Sometimes open surgery to remove dead tissue

Follow up

- ❑ MR/CT imaging
 - every 3 months to every year
 - assure control of tumor

- ❑ Arteriovenous malformations-MR angiograms

- ❑ Follow-up protocols vary from center to center

Neurol India. 2008 Jan-Mar;56(1):57-61.

Gamma knife radiosurgery for glomus jugulare tumors: therapeutic advantages of minimalism in the skull base.

1601 patients who underwent GKS from 1997 to 2006, 24 patients with GJ underwent 25 procedures.

J Neurooncol. 2010 Jun;98(2):265-70. Epub 2010 Apr 20.

Tumor control and hearing preservation after Gamma Knife radiosurgery for vestibular schwannomas in neurofibromatosis type 2.

Tumor control and hearing preservation after *Gamma Knife* radiosurgery for management of *acoustic* neuromas

Journal of Neurosurgery - 1999/05/01